

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	7 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/818,771	03/14/1997	MOJTABA MIRASHRAFI	002784.P001	9980
7.	590 10/03/2002			
	GILMAN, LLP	EXAMINER		
900 ROUTE 9 NORTH WOODBRIDGE, NJ 07095			NGUYEN, STEVEN H D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
• . •		08/818,771	MIRASHRAFI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Steven HD Nguyen	2665				
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app d for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)	$\boxtimes$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{22}$ J	<u>uly 2002</u> .					
2a)[	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) <b>Dispo</b>	Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under a sition of Claims						
4)	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-9,11,13-19,21-25,29,30 and 33</u>	-38 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-9,11,13-19,21-25,29,30 and 33-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applic	cation Papers						
_	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
		ammer.					
	ty under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	. maiority condox 25 H C C . C 440(-	) (d) a., (f)				
13)[	<ul><li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(a) or (i).				
	·	s have been received					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
	application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachn	nent(s)						
2) 🔲 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2665

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on 3/12/2002. Claims 5, 10, 12, 20, 26-28 and 31-32 have been canceled and claims 1-4, 6-9, 11, 13-19, 21-25, 29-30 and 33-38 are pending in the application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 24-25 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haserodt (USP 6031836).

As claim 24, Haserodt discloses a bridge server (Fig 1, Ref 104) for receving a request for content which targets a network server (Fig 1, 105); the bridger server 104 marks up the request and returns to the user for resubmitting (Fig 1, Ref 1, Fig 2 discloses a server 104 for

Art Unit: 2665

receiving a request for content of a network server 105 from user 113; the server 104 generates a marked up request form "Ref 204 is web page which contains mark up request of the user" for transmitting to the user 113; allowing the user 113 to resubmit the request the marked up content to server 104); See col. 3, lines 55 to col 4, lines 60.

As claim 25, Harcrodt discloses a bridge server (Fig 2, Ref 104) removing the marked up content after receiving a resubmitted request and forwarding the request to the server (Fig 2, Ref 105, the server removed the requested data from the marked up form and forwards the requested data to the server 105); See col 3, lines 55 to col 4, lines 60.

As claim 29, Haserodt discloses in Fig 2, a client including means for transmitting a request that target the server 105 and means for retransmitting the marked up request after receiving a mark up form which marked up by a bridge server 104; (See col 3, lines 55 to col 4, lines 60, the bridge server marked up the requested into a markup form to forward to client).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 11, 17-19, 21 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiu (USP 5752022) Van Hoff (USP 5822539).

As claim 1-4, 11, 17-19, 21 and 33-35, Chiu discloses a bridge server "Fig 2, Ref 202" for receiving a requested information from a client for targeting a network server "Fig 2, Ref

Art Unit: 2665

206" and attaching additional content "Fig 2, Ref 214 for merging the additional information Fig 2, Ref 203 into the requested information which is received from the targeting server" into a requested information for transmitting to the user "Fig 2, Ref 216". Chiu does not disclose a step of determining addition content based on the received request from the client. However, Van Hoff discloses a step of determining if any addition contents are associated with the remote information server, then selecting an addition content to relay to the user (Fig 2, Ref 120 for determining if any documents are related to the requested information, if yes, selecting the addition information for merging into the document from the server 104); a step of sending an additional content without altering from requested information (It's implicitly shown by Van Hoff because Van Hoff does not disclose a step of altering As claim 3); an addition information comprising hyperlink to identify the additional content information; information being mark or tag by hyperlink such as URL to allow the user to retrieve the addition information (See Fig 4; As claim 11, 17-18 and 21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to apply a step of determining if addition content is required for the requested before receiving the content information from the targeting server as disclosed Van Hoff's method and system into Chiu's system. The motivation would have been to reduce the delay time and improve the throughput of a server.

6. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haserodt as applied to claim 29 above, and further in view of Rondeau (USP 5850433).

As claim 30, Haserolt does not disclose the claimed invention. However, Rondeau disclosed a client system which transmits another request upon receiving an identifier of addition

Art Unit: 2665

content from a server "Fig 1, Ref 28"; (a client clicks on the telephone icon to talk to the telephone 22 for addition content).

Since, Rondeau suggests a method of embedding the telephone number into a mark up page. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a telephone number into a web page as addition content for allowing a user to contact with an agent for obtaining more information as disclosed by Rondeau into Harserolt's communication system. The motivation would have been to prevent the human error.

7. Claims 6-9, 22 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiu and Van Hoff as applied to claims 1 and 19 above, and further in view of Rondeau (USP 5850433).

As claims 6-9 and 22, Chiu and Van Hoff fail to disclose the claim invention. Rondeau discloses the additional content information comprising an option to make a phone call by allowing a user to click on the icon (Fig 2, Ref 54) to make a phone call via the network to a PSTN handset (Fig 1, Ref 22) and the addition content such as telephone number must be marked by the access server for returning to the user in a form such as icon or button.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a database server which includes a telephone icon as disclosed by Rondeau into a server's Chiu and Van Hoff. The motivation would have been to reduce the amount of time required to place a telephone to one of provider.

Art Unit: 2665

8. Claims 13-16 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiu and Van Hoff as applied to claims 1 and 19 above, and further in view of Haserodt (USP 6031836).

As claims 13-16 and 23, Chiu and Van Hoff do not disclose the claimed invention. However, in the same field of endeavor, Haserodt a bridge server (Fig 1, Ref 104) for receving a request for content which targets a network server (Fig 1, 105); the bridger server 104 marks up the request and returns to the user for resubmitting (Fig 1, Ref 1, Fig 2 discloses a server 104 for receiving a request for content of a network server 105 from user 113; the server 104 generates a mark up request form for transmitting to the user 113; allowing the user 113 to resubmit the request the marked up content to server 104) for establishing a telephone call; See col 3, lines 55 to col 4, lines 60.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a step of marking up the request for transmitting to the client and allowing the client to resubmit the request as disclosed by Haserodt into Chiu and Van Hoff's communication system. The motivation would have been to allow a client to contact with an agent in real time.

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 7/22/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to page 5, the applicant states that Haserodt does not disclose the bridge server marks up a step of a marked up form. In reply, Haserodt discloses a server 104 upon

Page 6

Art Unit: 2665

receiving a requested form a client; the server will generate a marked up form (Web Page) for transmitting to the client so that the user can enter more information to resubmit it to the server 104 (See Fig 2).

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven HD Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-8848. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D Vu can be reached on (703) 308-6602. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Steven HD Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2665

September 30, 2002